Remarks

I. Status of the Claims and Support for Amendments

.

By the foregoing amendments, claims 1-85 and 87-89 have been cancelled without prejudice thereto or disclaimer thereof, and claims 98-100 and 108 have been amended. Support for these amendments may be found in the specification and originally filed claims at, *inter alia*, paragraphs [0032], [0034], [0065], [0068], [0069], [0247] to [0254], and Example 25. Claims 111 and 112 are new, and are drawn to the subject matter of previous claims 98 and 99. Accordingly, no new matter is added by the foregoing amendments and their entry and consideration are respectfully requested. Upon entry of these amendments, claims 86 and 90-112 are pending in the application, with claim 86 being the sole independent claim.

II. Summary of the Office Action

In the Office Action dated June 22, 2004, the Examiner has made one objection to, and one rejection of, the claims. Applicants respectfully traverse each of these elements of the Office Action, and offer the following remarks concerning the same.

III. Objection to Claims 101-105

At pages 2-3 of the Office Action, the Examiner has objected to claims 101-105 under 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(c) as allegedly being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of the claim 98, from which these claims ultimately depend. To overcome this objection, the Examiner has suggested that Applicants amend claims 98 to 99 to recite "an immunogenic composition" rather than "a vaccine composition" and delete

the word "vaccine" from claims 100 and 108. In order to expedite prosecution, Applicants have amended claims 98-100 and 108 as suggested by the Examiner. In so doing, the Examiner's objection has been accommodated. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection therefore are respectfully requested.

IV. Provisional Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejections

In the Office Action at page 3, the Examiner has provisionally rejected claims 86 and 90-110 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as allegedly being unpatentable over claims 100-114 of commonly owned, co-pending U.S. Appl. No. 10/050,902; and over claims 14-16 of commonly owned, co-pending U.S. Appl. No. 10/050,898. Applicants respectfully traverse both rejections. However, to expedite prosecution, Applicants have filed herewith properly executed Terminal Disclaimers Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(c). Hence, the Examiner's rejection has been obviated; reconsideration and withdrawal are respectfully requested.

V. Information Disclosure Statement

The Examiner has also indicated that, as of the date of mailing of the present Office Action, Applicants' Information Disclosure Statement filed July 15, 2004, had not been made available to the Examiner. Applicants presume that the Examiner has now had an opportunity to consider the information disclosed in the Information Disclosure Statement and, to indicate same, respectfully request the return of the initialed Form PTO-1449 with the next communication.

SEBBEL et al. Appl. No. 09/848,616

- 11 -

VI. Conclusion

In the Office Action at page 4, the Examiner stated that "[c]laims 86, 90-100, 106-

110 are allowable, subject to resolution of provisional double patenting issues." Applicants

have resolved the double patenting issue by filing of terminal disclaimers, and all remaining

grounds of objection or rejection have been overcome. Applicants therefore respectfully

request that the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding objections and rejections and

that they be withdrawn.

Applicants believe that a full and complete reply has been made to the outstanding

Office Action and, as such, the present application is in condition for immediate allowance.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite

prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the

number provided. Prompt entry and consideration of the present Amendment and Reply,

and allowance of all pending claims, are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

Brian J. Del Buono Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 42,473

Date: December 21, 2004

1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3934 (202) 371-2600

346221 1.DOC